



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,847	11/26/2003	Pascal Salazar-Ferrer	14XZ130600	6549 6pm-0104
23413	7590	08/23/2006		EXAMINER NGUYEN, JENNIFER T

23413 7590
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002

ART UNIT
2629

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

November 23
Response
W/M 8/23/06

be due
9/23/06

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,847	SALAZAR-FERRER ET AL.
	Examiner Jennifer T. Nguyen	Art Unit 2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2003.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/13/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 2, 6, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878).

Regarding claim 1, Chevassus teaches a peripheral device (fig. 1) for manipulating images comprising:

a gripping element (10) (col. 4, lines 26-58);

means (26, fig. 2) for transmitting command information to means for processing as a function of shift and/or efforts applied by the user on the device (col. 5, lines 55-61);

means (22, fig. 1) for actuating by the user to control the means for processing to switch from one operating mode (i.e., two dimensional operating mode) where the gripping element is used for manipulating images to an operating mode (i.e., two dimensional operating mode) where the device is used as a pointer or for selection and vice versa (col. 5, lines 18-44).

Regarding claim 2, Chevassus teaches the means for actuating comprise a button (22) (col. 5, lines 18-31).

Regarding claim 6, Chevassus teaches the device presents a gripping head mounted on a transmitter for shifts and/or efforts, the head presenting a groove enclosing it at least partially and which facilitates positioning of the fingers of the user (col. 4, lines 26-35).

Regarding claim 13, Chevassus teaches an assembly comprising:

a peripheral device (10) for manipulating images by a user (col. 4, lines 26-58);

means (26) for processing having a memory for image modeling;

means (i.e., first serial link) for linking by which the peripheral device (10) transmits to the means for processing command information as a function of shift and/or efforts applied by the user on the device;

at least one means (32) for displaying an image;

means (i.e., first serial link) for linking by which the means for processing transmit to the means for display an image to be displayed, the image being a function of the command information transmitted to the means for processing by the peripheral device (col. 5, line 45 to col. 6, line 4);

wherein in one operating mode the device controls 2D navigation on the means for display;

the means (26) for processing comprising means in the one operating mode, as a function of the command information transmitted by the peripheral device (10), for controlling a 2D shift of a pointer displayed on the means for display and/or selecting given functions as a function of the position of the pointer (col. 5, line 45 to col. 6, line 4);

the assembly comprising means (22) actuated by the user to control the means for processing to switch to another operating mode for manipulating 3D images from the one

Art Unit: 2629

operating mode where the device is used to control 2D navigation on the means for display and vice versa (col. 5, lines 18-44).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878) in view of Reid et al. (Patent No. US 6,853,365).

Regarding claim 3, Chevassus teaches the device has a gripping head mounted on a transmitter for shifts and/or efforts, other end has an arched section at its (figs. 1 and 2).

Chevassus differs from claim 3 in that he does not specifically teach the head having a general elongated hemispheric shape terminating at one end in a substantially straight edge.

Reid teaches a general elongated hemispheric shape terminating at one end in a substantially straight edge (fig. 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the end in a substantially straight edge as taught by Reid in the system of Chevassus in order to fit easily to user's hand.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, the combination of Chevassus and Reid teaches the device has a gripping head mounted on a transmitter for shifts and/or efforts, the head presenting on its gripping face three recesses (107-109, figs. 1-2) distributed in a triangle in symmetrical fashion constituting tactile markers for positioning the hand of the user (col. 5, lines 1-23 of Reid).

Art Unit: 2629

5. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878) in view of Zagnoev (Pub. No. US 2003/0090394).

Regarding claim 7, Chevassus differs from claim 7 in that he does not specifically teach a wall forming a wrist-rest in front of the device.

Zagnoev teaches a wall forming a wrist-rest (21) in front of the device (10) [0026].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the wrist-rest as taught by Zagnoev in the system of Chevassus in order to allow the user to use the device comfortably.

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Chevassus and Zagnoev teaches a casing (10) having on one side a plurality of buttons forming a keyboard (26) and on the other side the device to be manipulated by user (23) [0024]-[0030] of Zagnoev.

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Chevassus and Zagnoev teaches a casing bearing a plurality of buttons, as well as the device to be manipulated by user, the device being placed in a tray which the casing presents (fig. 1, [0024]-[0030] of Zagnoev).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Chevassus and Zagnoev teaches a casing on which the device to be manipulated by user is placed, the device extending with a main reference plane which, in the position of use expected for this peripheral device, is inclined relative to the horizontal (fig. 2, [0024]-[0030] of Zagnoev).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, although the combination of Chevassus and Zagnoev does not specifically teach the angle of inclination is between 10 and 20 degree or 15 degree.

However, it would have been obvious to obtain those angle of inclination in order to allow the user to control the device comfortably.

Art Unit: 2629

6. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878) in view of Bonanni et al. (Patent No. US 6,400,157).

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Chevassus differs from claims 13 and 14 in that he does not specifically teach the peripheral device is placed in a surgical theater and/or examination room on the edge of a table intended for patients.

Bonanni teaches a peripheral device is placed in a surgical theater and/or examination room on the edge of a table intended for patients (fig. 2, col. 7, lines 30-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the peripheral device is placed in a surgical theater as taught by Bonanni in the system of Chevassus in order to perform surgery and other medical procedures efficiently.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to pertinent applicant's disclosure: US 5,230,623 and US 6,545,663.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7696. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 2629

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Nguyen
8/19/06



JENNIFER NGUYEN
SEARCH AND EXAMINER
TECHNICAL CENTER 2600

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Complete if Known

Substitute for form 1449/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

After as many sheets as necessary

Substitute for form 1449/PTO		<i>Complete if Known</i>	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		Application Number	10/722,847
		Filing Date	11/26/2003
		First Named Inventor	Salazar-Ferrer
		Art Unit	2674
		Examiner Name	
Sheet	of	Attorney Docket Number	
		14XZ130600 (GEM-0104)	

NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

/Jennifer Nguyen/

08/19/2006

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



PTO/SB/08A (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form **TYPE C**

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**

(Use as many sheets as necessary)

Complete if Known

Application Number	10/722,847
Filing Date	11/26/2003
First Named Inventor	Salazar-Ferrer
Art Unit	2674
Attorney Name	
Attorney Docket Number	14XZ130600 (GEM-0104)

Shee

91

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.*	Foreign Patent Document Country Code ¹ *Number ⁴ *Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages Or Relevant Figures Appear
JN		GB 2 228 065 A	08-15-1990	Atomic Energy Authority UK	→ —
					—
					—
					—
					—

***EXAMINER:** Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not
considered. If status is "Considered", initial here. If "Not Considered", initial here. If "In Conformity/Conforming", initial here.

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. "Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). "See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. "Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). "For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. "Kind of document by number, as defined in the five-yearly WIPO Standard ST.16, if possible. "Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language

the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. "Applicant" is to place a check mark here if English language translation is required.

The collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20591-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND ALL COMMENTS BY FAX TO (703) 325-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Notice of References Cited		Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination SALAZAR-FERRER ET AL.	
		Examiner Jennifer T. Nguyen	Art Unit 2629	Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	A US-6,342,878	01-2002	Chevassus et al.	345/158
*	B US-6,853,365	02-2005	Reid et al.	345/163
*	C US-6,400,157	06-2002	Bonanni et al.	324/322
*	D US-5,230,623	07-1993	Guthrie et al.	433/72
*	E US-2003/0090394	05-2003	Zagnoev, Ari	341/22
F	US-			
G	US-			
H	US-			
I	US-			
J	US-			
K	US-			
L	US-			
M	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
N					
O					
P					
Q					
R					
S					
T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*	Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)			
U				
V				
W				
X				

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(e).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.